



in a five-factor test: “(1) a concrete showing of a prima facie claim of actionable harm; (2) specificity of the discovery request; (3) the absence of alternative means to obtain the subpoenaed information; (4) a central need for the subpoenaed information to advance the claim; and (5) the party’s expectation of privacy.” Id. (citing Sony Music Enter. v. Does 1-40, 326 F. Supp. 2d 556, 564-65 (S.D.N.Y. 2004)). Here, the Court finds that each of the five factors is satisfied in this case, warranting issuance of the Rule 45 subpoena.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s “Ex Parte Motion For Leave To Serve A Third-Party Subpoena Prior To A Rule 26(f) Conference” (Document No. 5) is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Strike 3 Holdings, LLC is permitted limited discovery to serve a subpoena under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 to AT&T Internet (hereinafter the “ISP”) to determine the name and address of the Doe defendant to whom the ISP assigned the IP address 162.231.69.30. Plaintiff shall attach to the subpoena a copy of this Order.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if the ISP qualifies as a “cable operator,” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 522(5), which states:

the term “cable operator” means any person or group of persons

(A) who provides cable service over a cable system and directly or through one or more affiliates owns a significant interest in such cable system, or

(B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any arrangement, the management and operation of such a cable system

it shall comply with 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), which states:

A cable operator may disclose such [personal identifying] information if the disclosure is . . . made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed...


by sending a copy of this Order to the Defendant.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a subpoena may be used solely for the purposes of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the ISP shall preserve any subpoenaed information pending the resolution of any timely filed motion to quash.

**SO ORDERED.**

Signed: September 11, 2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'DCK', is written over a horizontal line.

David C. Keesler  
United States Magistrate Judge

